

STATE OF TENNESSEE Department of Safety and Homeland Security

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS # 34901-01140 AMENDMENT # 7 FOR COMPUTER AIDED DISPATCH/NEXT GENERATION 9-1-1 SYSTEM

DATE: 09/02/2020

RFP # 34901-01140 IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. This RFP Schedule of Events updates and confirms scheduled RFP dates. Any event, time, or date containing revised or new text is highlighted.

EVENT	TIME (central time zone)	DATE
1. RFP Issued		May 14, 2020
2. Disability Accommodation Request Deadline	2:00 p.m.	May 19, 2020
3. Pre-response Conference	9:00 a.m.	May 20, 2020
4. Notice of Intent to Respond Deadline	2:00 p.m.	May 27, 2020
5. Written "Questions & Comments" Deadline	2:00 p.m.	June 3, 2020
State Response to Written "Questions & Comments"		June 29, 2020
7. Written "Questions & Comments" Deadline, Second Round	2:00PM	July 9, 2020
State Response to Written "Questions & Comments" Second Round		July 20, 2020
9. Response Deadline	2:00 p.m.	August 21, 2020
State Completion of Technical Response Evaluations		September 1, 2020
State Schedules Respondent Oral Presentation		September 3, 2020
12. Respondent Oral Presentation	8 a.m. – NOON	September 10-14, 2020
13. State Opening & Scoring of Cost Proposals	2:00 p.m.	September 16, 2020
14. Negotiations (Optional)		September 17-21, 2020

15. State Notice of Intent to Award Released <u>and</u> RFP Files Opened for Public Inspection	2:00 p.m.	September 28, 2020
16. End of Open File Period		October 5, 2020
State sends contract to Contractor for signature		October 6, 2020
18. Contractor Signature Deadline	2:00 p.m.	October 9, 2020

- 2. Delete RFP Section 5.2.1.3 in its entirety and insert the following in its place (any sentence or paragraph containing revised or new text is highlighted):
 - 5.2.1.3. Proposal Evaluation Team members will independently evaluate each Technical Response (that is responsive to the RFP) against the evaluation criteria in this RFP, and will score each in accordance with the RFP Attachment 6.2., Technical Response & Evaluation Guide. In particular, RFP Section C is broken down into several independent sections that all together total the maximum points allowed as denoted in RFP Section 5.1. Each independent section will be scored by at least three (3) or more State employees who will independently evaluate their assigned section and score the response to each item
- 3. Delete RFP Attachment 6.2-Section C in its entirety and insert the following in its place (any sentence or paragraph containing revised or new text is highlighted):

TECHNICAL RESPONSE & EVALUATION GUIDE

SECTION C: TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE & APPROACH. The Respondent must address all items (below) and provide, in sequence, the information and documentation as required (referenced with the associated item references). The Respondent must also detail the response page number for each item in the appropriate space below.

Section C is broken down into several independent sections that all together total the maximum points allowed as denoted in RFP Section 5.1. Each independent section will be scored by at least three (3) or more State employees who will independently evaluate their assigned section and score the response to each item. Each evaluator will use the following whole number, raw point scale for scoring each item:

0 = little value 1 = poor 2 = fair 3 = satisfactory

The Solicitation Coordinator will multiply the Item Score by the associated Evaluation Factor (indicating the relative emphasis of the item in the overall evaluation). The resulting product will be the item's Raw Weighted Score for purposes of calculating the section score as indicated.

RESPONDEN NAME:	T LEGA	L ENTITY				
Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		on C— Technical Qualifications, operience & Approach Items	Item Score	Evaluation Factor	Raw Weighted Score
	C.1.	Responder	narrative that illustrates the nt's understanding of the State's nts and project schedule.		1	
	C.2.		narrative that illustrates how the nt will complete the scope of services,		1	

5 = excellent

4 = good

Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Score Factor					
		accomplish required objectives, State's project schedule.	complish required objectives, and meet the ate's project schedule.					
to calculate the section	on score.	ill use this sum and the formula below All calculations will use and result in aces to the right of the decimal point.			hted Score: hted Scores above)			
Maximum Possible Raw Weighted Score (i.e., 5 x the sum of item weights above)			X (1.59) (maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:			
(i.		tification:			<u>u</u>			

RESPONDENT LE NAME:	GAL EN	NTITY					
Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Item Evaluation Factor				
			Project Manag	ement			
	C.3.	Responder completion accomplish	Provide a narrative that illustrates how the Respondent will manage the project, ensure completion of the scope of services, and accomplish required objectives within the State's project schedule.				
	C.4.	will be man	de a narrative that describes how the project e managed to meet the milestones outlined phases as further described in <i>Pro Forma</i> on A.16.				
	C.5.	necessary : individuals	staffing plan that explains the staff, as well as, roles of these prior to and throughout implementation ect as further described in <i>Pro Forma</i>				
to calculate the section	on score.	All calculation	n and the formula below ns will use and result in tht of the decimal point.			hted Score: hted Scores above)	
	Tota	al Raw Weigl	hted Score	X <mark>(3.98)</mark>	·		
			w Weighted Score m weights above)	(maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:	
State Use – Evalue	ator Ider	ntification:				,	
State Use – Solicit	ation Co	oordinator Sig	gnature, Printed Name	& Date:			

RESPONDENT LE NAME:	GAL EN	NTITY					
Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		on C— Technical Qu kperience & Approac	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Item Score	Evaluation Factor	Raw Weighted Score
		System Arc	hitecture and Data N	/lanagement	1		
	C.6.	drawings de architecture	narrative, and appropretailing the proposed e. This narrative shouts, as well as, any on es.	System Id include cloud		2	
	C.7.	functionaliti include app how each of interfaces v	ovide a narrative that summarizes the nctionalities of the System. The narrative shall clude appropriate technical drawings showing ow each operates, and how it integrates and terfaces with each of the other functionalities in the entire System (see <i>Pro Forma</i> Section A.7).				
	C.8.	secures da also descri	narrative on how the F ta while in transit and be how the security fo uthentication mechan		2		
	C.9.	will meet the Forma Section ability to perten (10) correction environment	Provide a narrative detailing how the Respondent will meet the testing requirements outlined in <i>Pro Forma</i> Section A.10., particularly the System's ability to perform successfully and error free for ten (10) consecutive business days in an environment that fully and accurately simulates a production environment.				
to calculate the section	on score.	All calculation	n and the formula below ns will use and result in ht of the decimal point.			ghted Score: ghted Scores above)	
	imum P		v Weighted Score n weights above)	X <mark>(7.95)</mark> (maximum poss score)	sible	= SCORE:	
State Use – Evalua	ator Iden	tification:					
State Use – Solicit	ation Co	ordinator Sig	nature, Printed Name	e & Date:			

RESPONDENT LEGAL ENTITY NAME:								
Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Score Factor					
			Recover	у	T			
	C.10.	disaster red	Provide a narrative regarding the backup and disaster recovery options for the System and its functionalities.					
	C.11.		rovide a narrative of the recovery process upon ss of connectivity.					
to calculate the section	The Solicitation Coordinator will use this sum and the formula below to calculate the section score. All calculations will use and result in numbers rounded to two (2) places to the right of the decimal point. Total Raw Weighted Score: (sum of Raw Weighted Scores above)							
<u></u>	Tota	l Raw Weigh	ted Score	X <mark>(3.18)</mark>				
			weighted Score weights above)	(maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:		
State Use – Evalua	State Use – Evaluator Identification:							
State Use – Solicita	ation Co	ordinator Sig	nature, Printed Name	& Date:				

Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Score Factor						
			System Hard	lware			,		
	C.12.	drawings, e Hardware f Workstation	Provide a narrative, and appropriate technical drawings, explaining the proposed System Hardware for each individual Telecommunicator Workstation within an ECC, as well as, any other hardware needed for an ECC.						
to calculate the section	The Solicitation Coordinator will use this sum and the formula below to calculate the section score. All calculations will use and result in numbers rounded to two (2) places to the right of the decimal point. Total Raw Weighted Score: (sum of Raw Weighted Scores above)								
	imum P		w Weighted Score on weights above)	X <mark>(1.59)</mark> (maximum poss score)	sible	= SCORE:			
State Use – Evalua	ator Iden	tification:							
State Use – Solicita	State Use – Solicitation Coordinator Signature, Printed Name & Date:								

Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Score Factor					
	1	Telephony		1				
	C.13.	Provide a narrative for the teleph Forma Section A.7.a.). The narra all general requirements and fund						
to calculate the secti	on score.	rill use this sum and the formula below All calculations will use and result in laces to the right of the decimal point.			hted Score: hted Scores above)			
	ximum F	Possible Raw Weighted Score ne sum of item weights above)	X <mark>(2.39)</mark> (maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:			
	ator Ider	ntification:			<u> </u>			
State Use – Evalu								

RESPONDENT NAME:		ITITY					Raw	
(Respondent completes)	Item		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Experience & Approach Items Evaluation Factor					
			CAD for Telecom	municators				
	C.14.	Provide a narrative for the CAD for Telecommunicators (see Pro Forma Section A.7.b.). The narrative shall detail all general requirements and functionalities.						
to calculate the s	The Solicitation Coordinator will use this sum and the formula below to calculate the section score. All calculations will use and result in numbers rounded to two (2) places to the right of the decimal point.					hted Score: hted Scores above)		
	Maximum F		w Weighted Score m weights above)	X (2.39) (maximum possible score) = SCORE:		= SCORE:		
State Use – Ev	State Use – Evaluator Identification:							
State Use – So	licitation Co	oordinator Sig	gnature, Printed Name	e & Date:				

RESPONDENT NAME:	T LEGAL EN	ITITY							
Response Par # (Responden completes)	Item t Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Score Factor						
	•		CAD for Field Re	esponders					
	C.15.	Provide a narrative for the CAD for Field Responders (see Pro Forma Section A.7.c.). The narrative shall detail all general requirements and functionalities.							
to calculate the s	The Solicitation Coordinator will use this sum and the formula below to calculate the section score. All calculations will use and result in numbers rounded to two (2) places to the right of the decimal point. Total Raw Weighted Score: (sum of Raw Weighted Scores above)								
_	Maximum F		w Weighted Score m weights above)	X <mark>(2.39)</mark> (maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:			
State Use – Ev	State Use – Evaluator Identification:								
State Use – So	olicitation Co	oordinator Sig	nnature, Printed Name	e & Date:					

RESPONDENT LEGAL ENTITY NAME:									
Response Page # (Respondent completes)	ltem Ref.		Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items Score Factor						
	·		CAD for Mobile A	oplications					
	C.16.	Application narrative sl	Provide a narrative for the CAD for Mobile Applications (see Pro Forma Section A.7.d.). The narrative shall detail all general requirements and functionalities.						
to calculate the se	The Solicitation Coordinator will use this sum and the formula below to calculate the section score. All calculations will use and result in numbers rounded to two (2) places to the right of the decimal point. Total Raw Weighted Score: (sum of Raw Weighted Scores above)								
	laximum F		w Weighted Score m weights above)	X (1.59) (maximum possible score) = SCOR		= SCORE:			
State Use – Eve	State Use – Evaluator Identification:								
State Use – Sol	icitation Co	oordinator Sig	gnature, Printed Name	& Date:					

RESPONDENT LE NAME: Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.	Section	on C— Technical Qua		Item Score	Evaluation Factor	Raw Weighted Score
			Reportin	α			
	C.17.	tools utilize reports des Responder	Provide a narrative that describes the reporting tools utilized by the Respondent to produce reports described in <i>Pro Forma</i> Section A.8. The Respondent shall provide examples of these reports produced by these tools.				
to calculate the section	on score.	All calculation	n and the formula below ns will use and result in th of the decimal point.			hted Score: hted Scores above)	
	kimum F		w Weighted Score m weights above)	X (2.39) (maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:	
State Use – Evalua			wnatura Printad Nama	P. Data:			
State Use – Solicit	ation Co	ordinator Sig	nature, Printed Name	& Date:			

RESPONDENT LE NAME: Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.	Section	on C— Technical Qu xperience & Approac	•	Item Score	Evaluation Factor	Raw Weighted Score	
			Additional CAD (ore Items				
	C.18.	Responder	earrative that describe at shall meet the GIS of Section A.7.b.(1)iii.		2			
	C.19.	for maintair	Provide a narrative that describes methodology for maintaining time synchronization for all system functionalities. 2					
to calculate the section	on score.	All calculation	n and the formula below ns will use and result in ht of the decimal point.			hted Score: hted Scores above)	_	
	cimum F		w Weighted Score m weights above)	X (3.18) (maximum poss score)	ible	= SCORE:		
State Use – Evalua	ator Iden	tification:						
State Use – Solicit	ation Co	ordinator Sig	nature, Printed Name	e & Date:				

RESPONDENT LE NAME:	GAL EN	NTITY					
Response Page # (Respondent completes)	Item Ref.	Section C— Technical Qualifications, Experience & Approach Items				Evaluation Factor	Raw Weighted Score
		Т	raining, Support, an	d Maintenance			
	C.20.	well as, pro	narrative of the training ovide samples of the training described in <i>Pro Form</i>	aining materials		3	
to calculate the section	on score.	All calculation	n and the formula below ns will use and result in tht of the decimal point.			nted Score: hted Scores above)	
	Tota	ıl Raw Weigl	hted Score	X <mark>(2.39)</mark>			
Maximum Possible Raw Weighted Score (i.e., 5 x the sum of item weights above)			(maximum possible = SCORE: score)				
State Use – Evaluator Identification:							
State Use – Solicit	ation Co	ordinator Sig	gnature, Printed Name	& Date:			

3. Delete RFP Attachment 6.5- Score Summary Matrix in its entirety and insert the following in its place (any sentence or paragraph containing revised or new text is highlighted):

RFP ATTACHMENT 6.5.

SCORE SUMMARY MATRIX

	RESPONDENT NAME		RESPONDENT NAME		RESPONDENT NAME	
GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE (maximum: 5)						
EVALUATOR NAME						
EVALUATOR NAME						
REPEAT AS NECESSARY						
	AVERAGE:		AVERAGE:		AVERAGE:	
TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS,						

EXPERIENCE & APPROACH (maximum: 35)				
General (1.59)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
Project Management (3.98)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
System Arch. And Data Mgmt (7.95)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
Recovery (3.18)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
System Hardware (1.59)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
Telephony (2.39)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY		•••		
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
CAD For Telecommunications (2.39)				

EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
CAD For Field Responders (2.39)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
CAD For Mobile Applications (1.59)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
Reporting (2.39)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
Additional CAD Core Items (3.18)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
Training, Support and Mtc. (2.39)				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
	Total Score out of 35:	Total Score out of 35:	Total Score out of 35:	
ORAL PRESENTATION (maximum: 30)				

EVALUATOR NAME				
EVALUATOR NAME				
REPEAT AS NECESSARY				
	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	AVERAGE:	
COST PROPOSAL (maximum: 30)	SCORE:	SCORE:	SCORE:	
	SCORE:	SCORE:	SCORE:	

4. RFP Amendment Effective Date. The revisions set forth herein shall be effective upon release. All other terms and conditions of this RFP not expressly amended herein shall remain in full force and effect.